



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,799	10/11/2001	Yoshihito Fujiwara	450100-03533	9570
20999	7590	09/13/2005	EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			DAVIS, GEORGE B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2129	
DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/975,799

Applicant(s)

FUJIWARA ET AL.

Examiner

George Davis

Art Unit

2129

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 July 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 19-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 19-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 19-21 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. 35 U.S. 101 rejection in office action mailed 4/25/05 is withdrawn because the amended claims are statutory. The statutory subject matter in the amended claims is the information processing terminals in conjunction with preferences.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-12 and 19-21 are objected to because of the following informalities:
Claims 1 and 19, line 3, "information processing" should read "information-processing";
and Claims 11, 12, 20 and 21, line 4, "information processing" should read "information-processing". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 and 19-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the plurality of contents including user specific information relating to each of said information-processing terminals" in lines 7 and 8.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the basis of said expected value" in line 9.

Art Unit: 2129

Claims 11 and 12 recite the limitation "the plurality of contents including user specific information relating to each of said information-processing terminals" in lines 8 and 9.

Claims 11 and 12 recite the limitation "the basis of said expected value" in line 10.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "said contents including user specific information relating to each of said information-processing terminals" in lines 9 and 10.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "the basis of said expected values" in lines 10 and 11.

Claims 20 and 21 recite the limitation "the plurality of contents, including user specific information relating to each of said information-processing terminals" in lines 11 and 12.

Claim 20 and 21 recite the limitation "said expected values" in lines 8 and 9.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

5. Claims 1-12 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: Claims 1, 11, 12 and 19-21, lines 4 or 5, a phrase needed to show that the word "each" refers to either users or terminals. Claims 1, 11, 12 and 19-21, the relationship between the phrase "and each of information-processing terminals in response to each of plurality of

Art Unit: 2129

contents transmitted to said information-processing terminals" and the preceding claimed language is missing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-12 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 6,549,939 B1.

Due to indefinite nature of the claimed invention as shown in paragraphs 4 and 5, a detail recitation of prior art elements that teach the claimed invention are not shown.

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 and 19-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-3683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight, can be reached on (571) 272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-3800.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,799
Art Unit: 2129

Page 5

September 4, 2005

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a series of loops and a long horizontal stroke at the end.

GEORGE B. DAVIS
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER